IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

GMAC GLOBAL RELOCATION SERVICES, LLC,

No. CV 06-887-MO

Plaintiff,

OPINION re: Bill of Costs

v.

JANE "JAMIE" M. HERRING, an individual, and STEPHEN G. HERRING, an individual,

Defendants.

MOSMAN, J.,

On December 20, 2007, I signed a judgment in favor of GMAC Global Relocation Services, LLC, against Jane M. Herring. GMAC now requests costs in the amount of \$6,498.20. Ms. Herring has not filed objections. For the reasons set forth below, I GRANT GMAC's request and order Ms. Herring to pay costs of \$6,498.20.

DISCUSSION

District Courts have discretion to award costs. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(1) states: "Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court order provides otherwise, costs . . . should be allowed to the prevailing party." This rule "creates a presumption for awarding costs to prevailing parties." *Save Our Valley v. Sound Transit*, 335 F.3d 932, 944-45 (9th Cir. 2003). The court "need not give affirmative reasons for awarding costs." *Id.* at 945. The costs typically awarded to a prevailing party are set forth in 28 U.S.C. §1920. However, the amount and type of

PAGE 1 - OPINION AND ORDER

costs awarded to a prevailing party is within the court's discretion. *See Alflex Corp. v. Underwriters Labs., Inc.,* 914 F.2d 175, 176-77 (9th Cir. 1990) (awarding the cost of depositions and service of process).

GMAC is entitled to its costs associated with serving Ms. Herring with a copy of the summons and complaint. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d)(2) states: "If a defendant located within the United States fails, without good cause, to sign and return a waiver requested by a plaintiff located within the United States, the court must impose on the defendant . . . the expenses later incurred in making service." Ms. Herring knew GMAC had filed suit against her and was trying to serve her with a copy of the Summons and Complaint, yet she actively and intentionally avoided service of process for almost nine months. *See* William G. Fig Decl. (Docket #79) ¶ 4. After hiring a private investigator and attempting to personally serve Ms. Herring, GMAC ultimately served her by publication. GMAC is entitled to its costs associated with serving Ms. Herring. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(2).

GMAC is also entitled to its costs associated with the depositions of Ms. Herring and Stephen G. Herring. The cost of depositions used in a case are recoverable. *See Alflex Corp.*, 914 F.2d at 176. GMAC used the depositions of Ms. Herring and Mr. Herring to support its Motion for Summary Judgment (#61). GMAC is entitled to its costs associated with these depositions.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, in addition to the costs normally awarded to a prevailing party, GMAC is entitled to reimbursement of its costs and expenses associated with service of process and the two depositions. Therefore, I GRANT GMAC's request for costs. Costs are

awarded as follows:

Clerk's Filing Fee ¹	\$350.00
Publication Fees	297.50
Private Investigator Fees	4,081.70
Process Server Fees	868.00
Depositions	
a. Jane Herring	408.50
b. Stephen Herring	492.50
TOTAL:	\$6,498.20

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 19th day of February, 2008.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman
MICHAEL W. MOSMAN
United States District Court

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ 28 U.S.C. \S 1920 lists the costs normally awarded to a prevailing party, which include the "fees of the clerk."